

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,308	07/09/2003	Michael R. Kamarauskas	A3-180 US	1856
	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER	
MOLEX INCORPORATED 2222 WELLINGTON COURT			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
LISLE, IL 6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
y -			2839	2
	*	• •	DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/616,308	KAMARAUSKAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chandrika Prasad	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh et with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.131 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jul</u>	lv 2003					
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,/	/23 The determ to not milat.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
• • • •	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		*				
	• •	· X ·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1 121(d)						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	double of U.S. C.					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (POT Bulg 17 2(a))	in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not received					
	the certified copies flot received	· · ·				
	_	•				
Attachment(s)		5. 				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. □ . · · ·	• •				
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pat					
Patent and Trademark Office	6)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed with the application recites a patent number 6344715, which is not relevant to this application and has not been considered. The name (So et al.), date (1-12-020 and class/subclass does not match with the patent number.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13-16, 18-20, 23, 26 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Underwood et al (5737464).

Underwood (Figures 1-7) shows an optical fiber module having a housing with a first end 22 and a second end 22; an optical fiber extending through a passageway in the housing; and the two ends of the housing mating with an internal and external assembly (not shown). The housing has an internal portion with first end and second end and an external portion with a first end and a second end and an intermediate portion with a first end and a second end joined together by clips 34. Figures 3-4 show a spacer 92 as an intermediate portion made integral with the end portions. Ferrules 14 are placed at least partially within the spacer. The intermediate portion has flanges at two sides made integral with end walls of the internal and external portion. Underwood shows a sleeve 20 with a portion positioned on an internal ferrule and a portion positioned on an external ferrule. The

Application/Control Number: 10/616,308

Art Unit: 2839

flange and end walls of the internal and external portion define a mounting aperture.

Underwood further shows a mounting panel formed by end walls of two portions 32.

4. Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth (6079881).

Roth (Figure 2) shows a shutter 36 with a thumb latch for covering a plurality of passageways of an optical fiber module.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12, 21-22, 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Underwood et al. (5737464).

Underwood shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 2 above except sizes of the end wall and the flanges, the spacer to float in the passageway and a plurality of passageways. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problem to be solved by these features. These features are well known in the art of optical fiber connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features to Underwood's optical module because these would require a mere change in size, a duplication and relation of an essential part which involve only routine skill in the art.

7. Claims 6-7, 17, 24, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Underwood et al. (5737464).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,308

Art Unit: 2839

Page 4

Underwood shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 2 above except sizes of the end wall and the flanges, the spacer to float in the passageway and a plurality of passageways. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problem to be solved by these features. These features are well known in the art of optical fiber connectors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features to Underwood's optical module because these would require a mere change in size, a duplication and relation of an essential part which involve only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lu (6296398), Underwood (5359688).

Contact Information

9. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner May 23, 2004